

canceled a meeting with Platt scheduled for the next day. Platt remained involved in the Hudson matter as counsel to the Mole Lake applicant tribe.

During this same period, Havenick enlisted the assistance of Jim Moody to press for further review of the Hudson denial. In August 1995, Moody called Harold Ickes at the White House to see if he could assist in gaining reconsideration of the decision. Moody recalls that Ickes called him back and they spoke for a few minutes about the Hudson matter. Moody got the impression that Ickes was not very familiar with the issue, and Moody urged him to take a further look at it, as Moody felt the applicants had a meritorious position. Moody mentioned that the applicants had hired Friebert to represent them, and Ickes commented that he knew Friebert, who was active in Democratic politics. Moody then suggested that Ickes call Friebert about the application, which Ickes said he would do. However, Friebert never heard from Ickes. Ickes has no recollection of ever speaking with Moody about the Hudson matter.

Moody also tried to speak with McAuliffe about the Hudson controversy. On Aug. 21, Moody called Clinton/Gore '96 headquarters in Washington. The next day, he sent a one page handwritten fax to McAuliffe at the Clinton/Gore offices, with the subject line reading: "heading off trouble." The fax states:

As you know, Democratic friend + supporter Fred Havenick has been royally screwed by the process. So were the Indians who needed help the most. Attached is a 'dry run' pitch the Indians will be releasing in several days if the [sic] don't get an audience for their grievances. Please call me ASAP. Jim

Moody stated that he attached to this fax a mock New York Times news article, drafted by Mark Goff, that depicted potential news coverage of the lawsuit the Four Feathers group was